Reversibility for Efficient Computing

Ph.D. Thesis Defense

Michael P. Frank
MIT EECS Dept./MIT AI Lab
mpf@ai.mit.edu

Monday, May 3, 1999

http://www.ai.mit.edu/~mpf/rc/thesis/defense.html

Thesis of doctoral work:

Reversible computing techniques (to be described) are:

- Not *too* different from normal irreversible techniques.
- Straightforward to implement, at all levels.
- Definitely required for future computing efficiency.
- Beginning to become useful today.

It's time for this field to start getting more attention!

Overview of talk contents

- Basic principles.
- **Engineering & technology**:
  - Reversible logic circuits.
  - Programming reversible computers.
  - Near-term applications.
- **Theory & analysis**:
  - Traditional theory of reversible computing.
  - Physical computing theory, scaling.
  - Long-term applications.
- Conclusions









Forward and Reverse Determinism

(Forward) Determinism:
No splits



Reverse Determinism:
No merges



Forward and Reverse Determinism:



Information "erasure" in reversible physics



Before: N thermal states, entropy $S = k_{\rm B} \ln N$.
After: $2N$ thermal states, entropy $S = \ln 2N = k_{\rm B}(\ln 2 + \ln N)$.
$\Delta S = k_{\rm B}(\ln 2)$, $\Delta E = T\Delta S = k_{\rm B} T \ln 2$.

Part I: Reversible logic circuits







- Fredkin-Toffoli reversible boolean logic.
- Younis-Knight reversible electronic implementation (SCRL).
- Our reversible FPGA-like parallel processor (FLATTOP)

Fredkin-Toffoli reversible logic



- Normal multi-input logic gates are not reversible.
  - Output insufficient to determine input.
- Toffoli's universal reversible 3-input, 3-output gate:
  - Inputs preserved, w. a delay.
  - Output reversibly toggled.
  - Subsumes AND/NAND/XOR/NOT.

Energy dissipation in irreversible vs. reversible electronics



- Dissipation in irreversible circuits at least $\frac{1}{2}CV^2$.
- Dissipation in reversible circuits is $CV^2(RC/t)$.
- For reliability of N ops/error despite thermal noise:
  - $\frac{1}{2}CV^2 \geq k_{\mathrm{B}}T \ln N$, in both.
- Decrease RC: less lossage at a given clock speed.

Younis-Knight SCRL reversible electronics





SCRL = *Split-level Charge Recovery Logic*:

- Fully reversible sequential circuits.
- Ordinary semiconductor transistors.
- Resonant supply w. 3 levels.
- Never turn on trans. w. voltage across it.
- Enough info. in outputs to uncompute inputs.
- Compute in predefined phases.
- Me: voltage scaling analysis, bug fix.

Scaling of SCRL minimum energy with threshold voltage



$$E_{\text{min}} \propto C_{\text{L}} V_{\text{T0}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_{\text{T0}}/\phi'_T}$$

FlatTop reversible mesh processor







- FPGA-like; simulates any reversible circuit.
- Encodes Fredkin "Billiard Ball Model" of computation
- $\sim$ 300 transistors / processing element.
- Est. min. room-T diss.: $\sim 2000\times$ less than irrev.
- Chips w. 20×20 array just back from fab.

Part II: Programming reversible computers.





- PISA instruction set.
- R programming language.
- Reversible algorithms.

Pendulum reversible processor



- Carlin Vieri's Ph.D. project.
- Full RISC-style CPU.
- My contributions to PISA (Pend. instr. set arch.):
  - Asymptotic efficiency.
  - Guaranteed reversibility.
- Chips are being tested.

Example PISA-type instructions:

"Nonexpanding" arith./logic:

```
NEG  ra      (ra = -ra)
ADD  ra,rb   (ra += rb)
ADDI ra,imm  (ra += [imm])
SUB  ra,rb   (ra -= rb)
XOR  ra,rb   (ra ^= rb)
RL   ra,imm  (ra <=< imm)
```

"Expanding" arith./log.:

```
ANDX  ra,rb,rc   (ra ^= rb&rc)
ANDIX ra,rb,imm  (ra ^= rb&[imm])
ORX   ra,rb,rc   (ra ^= rb|rc)
SLLX  ra,rb,imm  (ra ^= rb<<imm)
SLTX  ra,rb,rc   (ra ^= (rb<rc)?1:0)
SRAX  ra,rb,imm  (ra ^= rb>>imm)
```

Branch instructions:

```
BEQ    ra,rb,off (if ra=rb, BR+=off*dir)
BGEZ   ra,off    (if ra>=0, BR+=off*dir)
BGTZ   ra,off    (if ra>0, BR+=off*dir)
BRA    loff      (BR += loff*dir)
RBRA   loff      (dir=-dir, BR+=loff*dir)
SWAPBR ra        (ra <-> BR)
```

Some reversible control flow structures:



The "R" reversible programming language

Features:

- Parenthesis-based syntax, for easy parsing.
- Simple C-like procedural semantics.
- Permits coding efficient reversible algorithms.
- The R language compiler:
  - Written in Common Lisp.
  - Targets PISA assembly code.

Example R language constructs

Control flow:

```
(if condition then
    statement ... )
(for var = start to end
     statement ... )
(defsub subname (arg1 arg2 ... )
    statement ... )
(call subname arg1 arg2 ... )
(rcall subname arg1 arg2 ... )
```

Data manipulation:

```
(let (var <- val)
    statement ... )
(place ++)
(place += value)
(place -= value)
(place ^= value)
⋮
```

Expressions:

```
(val1 + val2)
(val1 - val2)
(val1 & val2)
(* address)
(array _ index)
⋮
```

Data declaration:

```
(defword name value)
(defarray name
    value0 value1 ...  )
```

Output:

```
(printword val)
(println)
```

Reversible algorithms

- Sorting, arithmetic, depth-first & breadth-first search:
  - Straightforward, no space or time increase.
- Interesting case: All-pairs shortest path graph problem.
  - Floyd-Warshall: Time $\mathbf{\Theta}(n^3)$, space $\mathbf{\Theta}(n^2)$.
  - Direct reversible: Space $\mathbf{\Theta}(n^3)$.
  - Alt. reversible: Time $\mathbf{\Theta}(n^3 \log n)$, space $\mathbf{\Theta}(n^2 \log n)$.
  - Best reversible algs. may have different structure!
- Physical simulations (Margolus, D'Souza, . . .)
  - No overhead, good stability.
  - Reflect underlying reversibility of physics.
  - Example: Schrödinger wave simulation.

Reversible Schrödinger simulation





R source for Schrödinger program:

```
(defword epsilon 203667001) ; hbar*dt/m*dx^2 = .09 rad.  (dx=7.8125e-13m, dt=5e-22s)
(defarray alphas 458243442 456664951 ... [126 others]) ;Potential well.
(defarray psiR 2072809 3044772 ... [126 others]) ; Real part of wave.
(defarray psiI 0 0 ... [126 others]) ; Imaginary part of wave.
(defsub halfstep (dest src) ; Updates one wave based on the other.
  (let (e <- epsilon)
    (for i = 0 to 127
      (let (d <-> (dest _ i))
        (d += ((alphas _ i) */ (src _ i)))
        (d -= (e */ (src _ ((i + 1) & 127))))
        (d -= (e */ (src _ ((i - 1) & 127)))))))))
(defsub printwave (wave) ; Print given wave to output.
  (for i = 0 to 127
    (printword (wave _ i)))
  (println))
(defmain schroed ;Main program.
  (for i = 1 to 1000 ;Time for electron to fall to well bottom.
    (call halfstep psiR psiI) (rcall halfstep psiI psiR) ; Update both components
    ;; Print both components.
    (call printwave psiR) (call printwave psiI)))
```

830 PISA instructions (395 data, 435 program).

Part III: Traditional reversible computing theory.





- Traditional modeling context.
- General irreversible → reversible transformations.
- Minimum overheads for reversibility.

Traditional reversible computing theory—Caveats

Previous RC theory in traditional models of computation:

- Traditional focus on idealized space, time.
- Ignore energy cost & overhead of heat removal.
- Frank & Ammer: In that case, reversibility looks bad.
- Later: More *physical* models of computation.
- In more realistic models, reversible machines look good!

Bennett's reversible simulation techniques





- 1973: Compute w. full history, copy output, uncompute.
- 1989: Do in small segments, & recursively @ higher levels.
- Time $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{T}^{1+\varepsilon})$, space $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{S}\log\mathsf{T})$, w. constant $\varepsilon > 0$.
- Or: Time $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{T})$, space $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{S}\cdot\mathsf{T}^{\varepsilon})$.
- Levine & Sherman '90: Unrealistic to make ε *too* small.

More space-time efficient simulations?



- Lange *et al.* '97: Space $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{S})$, time $\mathcal{O}(2^{\mathsf{S}})$.
  - I helped them make result fully general.
- Open question: Possible to do space $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{S})$, time $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{T})$?
- Frank & Ammer '97: If so, would break if:
  - Add a (computable) reversible oracle.
  - Or, input is a reversible ROM.
  - Possibly also if 1-way functions exist.
- Remember: Assumes traditional context!

Part IV: Physical models & scaling



- Fundamental physical constraints on computation.
- More physically realistic models of computation.
- Scaling of physical reversible and irreversible machines.

Physical constraints on computation

Fundamental principle	**Constrained quantity**	**Symbol**	**Quantitative constraint**	**Impact on our model**
Quantum mechanics	Entropy density	ρ_S	$\lesssim$ 1–10 b/Å^3?	Finite state/ processor
	Entropy flux	F_S	$\leq \rho_S v$	Finite info. flux
	Rate of state change	$\nu_\perp$	$\leq 4(E - E_0)/h$	Finite oper. frequency
Locality	Info. prop. velocity	v	$\leq c \approx 3\times10^8$ m/s	Mesh arch. (Vitányi '88)
3-dimensionality of space	Connec- tivity		$\mathcal{O}(t^3)$	3-D mesh
Micro- reversibility, thermodynamics	Entropy change	ΔS	≥ 0 always, ≥ 1/erasure	Logical reversibility, entropy accounting
	Energy dissipation	ΔE	≥ 0 always, $\geq k_B T \ln 2$/eras.	
Frictional effects	Entropy coefficient	k_S	> 0 b/Hz?	Time-prop. reversibility

My proposed model: The R3M (Reversible 3-D Mesh)

A locally-connected, 3-D mesh of finite *reversible* processors.



Assume only *time-proportionate* reversibility:

- Entropy/op proportional to speed, $S = k_S/t$.
- We *know* how to do this with $k_S > 0$ (*e.g.*, SCRL).
- It *still* scales better than irreversible models.
- No fundamental lower bound on k_S is known.

Conjecture: (*Tight Church's thesis*) The R3M is asymptotically optimal, within a constant factor.

Limit on irreversible processing rate



$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm op} \leq \frac{F_{\rm S} A}{S}$$

$\mathcal{R}_{\rm op}$ – total rate of ops per time
$F_{\rm S}$ – max. rate entropy flux per area
A – min. area of enclosing surface
S – min. entropy generated per op

- Note processing rate scales with surface area, not volume.
- The entropy S can never be less than 1 bit ($k_{\rm B} \ln 2$) per bit irreversibly erased by an operation.
- We consider $F_{\rm S}$ to be a constant, assuming limits on temperature and energy density.

Per-area speed limit for reversible machines



$\mathcal{R}_{\rm op} \propto A\sqrt{d}$ with $t \propto \sqrt{d}$.

Beats best irrev. $\mathcal{R}_{\rm op} = A\dfrac{F_{\rm S}}{S}$ when $d > \dfrac{F_{\rm S} k_{\rm S} V}{S^2}$

Present/near-future VLSI, w. ordinary cooling:

- $\gtrsim$ 200-layer circuits are faster if reversible.
- 1 m^3, 1 MW rev. machine: $\sim$ 24 PFLOPS (vs. 1 PFLOPS in supercond. irrev.).

Various nano-scale technologies, w. aggressive cooling:

- Rev. beats *best possible* irrev. if $d \gtrsim$ 1mm.

Speed per proc. in 3-D mesh computations



Irr.: $t \sim L \sim N_D^{1/3}$, $A \sim N_D^{2/3}$
$S/t \sim N_D^{-1/3}$/prc., $\sim N_D^{2/3}$/col.
Rev.: $t \sim L \sim N_D^{1/4}$, $A \sim N_D^{1/2}$
$S/t \sim N_D^{-1/2}$/prc., $\sim N_D^{1/2}$/col.

- Reversible advantage of $\mathcal{A}_r = \Theta(\sqrt[12]{N_D})$
  - $10^{36}\times$ more processors to increase rev. advantage by $10\times$!
- $\mathcal{A}_r = \Theta(\sqrt[6]{N_D})$ if communication distance is $\sqrt{N_D}$.
  - Current VLSI: $\gtrsim$ \$25B machines benefit
- $\mathcal{A}_r = \Theta(\sqrt[3]{N_D})$ if $k_S = 0$.
- $\mathcal{A}_r = \Theta(N_D^{\frac{1}{12}-\epsilon})$ to sim. any irrev. mesh.

Summary of major contributions:

- My work on circuits, ISAs, languages, algorithms:
  - Illustrates that reversibility is not hard to work with.
- My work on traditional reversible computing theory:
  - Helps establish overheads of full reversible computing.
- My work on scaling of physical machines:
  - Definitively establishes that substantial reversibility is best for high-performance computing in the long run.

Areas for future work

Computer science:

- More full-featured reversible programming languages.
- Good languages for programming reversible meshes.
- More serial and parallel reversible algorithms.

Computer engineering:

- Better reversible serial and mesh processor designs.
- Short-term applications of partial reversibility in energy-limited environments.

Electrical engineering:

- Lower-resistance switches for reversible ICs.
- Better resonant power supplies for reversible ICs.
- Adiabatic circuit styles with lower overheads.

Physics:

- Better characterize fundamental physical limits.
- Work on efficient nano-scale reversible devices.

Conclusion

Reversible computing *will* be a vital aspect of future computer science and computer engineering.

Let's proceed to develop the theory and technology more vigorously, and help facilitate that future!

Reversible computing:
It's here,
It's not *too* queer,*
Get used to it!

*It goes both ways.